

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/777,391	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FRANCE, ROBERT M.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANDREW LAI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2473	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) ANDREW LAI (Examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matthew Gage (Applicant's Representative).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 April 2010

**Time:** 6:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*27*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment to correct the incorrect dependency for claim 27. Mr. Gage authorized proposed Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)